

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Civil Petition for Review - Appeal**

**CV-26-0148-PR**

**KELLEY v AITCHISON et al**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 14-Apr-2026 Archive on: 1-Jun-2036 (planned)  
Case Closed: 1-Jun-2026

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. CYNTHIA JOLLEY KELLEY, Plaintiff/Appellant**

(Litigant Group) CYNTHIA JOLLEY KELLEY

- Cynthia J Kelley PRO SE

**Side 2. KATHRYN LEANE AITCHISON AND TUCSON HOUSES, LLC, Defendant/Appellee**

(Litigant Group) KATHRYN LEANE AITCHISON

- Kathryn Aitchison PRO SE

(Litigant Group) TUCSON HOUSES, LLC

- Tucson Homes LLC

**Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellee**

Andrew Abraham, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 7322)  
Jackson D Hendrix, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 36452)

**CASE STATUS**

Jun 1, 2026.....Case Closed

Jun 1, 2026.....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CV 25-0255				
↳ PIM	C20246774		Brenden J Griffin, Trial Comments: (none)		

**CASE DECISION**

**01-Jun-2026 ORDER**

\* The Court having denied Appellant's "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review to The Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of Arizona" and having ordered the petition for review to be filed no later than May

Filed: **01-Jun-2026**

Mandate: \_\_\_\_\_

Decision Disposition

***Dismissed***

**Aaron Nash**

**4 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

1. 4-May-2026 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review to The Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of Arizona; Certificate of Service (Appellant Kelley, Pro Se)
2. 6-May-2026 FILED: Record of CofA: Link To Electronic Record
3. 6-May-2026 Appellant Kelley, Pro Se filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review to The Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of Arizona" on May 4, 2026. Under Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Further, the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." The motion is non-compliant in these respects.  
  
Additionally, Appellant is advised the Arizona Supreme Court does not appoint pro bono counsel, and that parties may not be electronically served through the AZTurboCourt portal on the first document that initiates a case in this court. Accordingly,  
  
IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by May 14, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
4. 1-Jun-2026 The Court having denied Appellant's "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review to The Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of Arizona" and having ordered the petition for review to be filed no later than May 14, 2026, and Appellant not having filed a petition by that date,  
  
IT IS ORDERED dismissing this matter. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)

Arizona Supreme Court  
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

**CV-26-0148-PR**

**KELLEY v AITCHISON et al**

4 PROCEEDING ENTRIES